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I. INTRODUCTION

Korea has long maintained a unique system of contractual leasehold that does not require periodic rent payments, called Chonse (the “e” is pronounced with a stress as “e” in “Edward”). Under this system, a lessee makes a one-time deposit of a large sum of money (Chonsegeum) with the lessor at the beginning of the lease, does not pay rent for the duration of the lease, and receives Chonsegeum back at the expiration of the lease. The lessor may invest or spend the Chonsegeum without any restriction, on the condition that it is refunded to the lessee at the end of the lease period. The lessor also may retain any interest or investment return from the Chonsegeum in lieu of rent. This unique system has been the most prevalent form of leasehold in Korea for decades and is not found outside Korea.

Chonse created a unique housing culture in Korea, which induced a high rate of savings among the population. Often, parents would make Chonsegeum on behalf of their children as a wedding gift, allowing young people to save money they would have otherwise paid in rent. Unlike their counterparts in other
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1. Korea refers to the Republic of Korea, or more popularly known as “South Korea,” founded after the division of the Korean peninsula by the United States and Soviet Union in 1945.
countries, Chonse allowed young Koreans to save even more toward the purchase of their own houses. Chonse was indeed a unique leasehold system that fit the Korean culture of high savings during the ages of rapid economic development, which in turn induced more savings among the population.4

Chonse is sometimes protected as a property right unique to Korea—Chonsegwon.5 Chonsegwon refers to a registered Chonse arrangement, which creates a leasehold interest in property (a right in rem) for the Chonse-lessee.6 The utility and practicality of Chonsegwon has been diminished, however, with the enactment of legislation intended to protect unregistered Chonse-lessees and recent trends in the Korean economy.7

This article offers a discussion of Chonsegwon from a comparative legal perspective. The legal elements of Chonsegwon are discussed and compared with those of Anglo-American leasehold rights. The next Section introduces the origin of Chonsegwon and its historical development. Section III provides a comparative legal analysis of Chonsegwon by examining its legal elements in comparison with corresponding concepts of Anglo-American common law leaseholds. Section IV assesses the socio-economic implications of this unique leasehold system with a commentary on the future of Chonse and Chonsegwon in the changing socio-economic conditions of Korea.

II. HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

A. Origin

Chonsegwon, recognized as a form of property leasehold right in the Korean Civil Code (KCC),8 is a legal transformation of a longstanding custom referred to as Chonse. Although there is no single account of how Chonse first came into being in Korea, it appears that this custom began to form in the latter part of the nineteenth century.9 At this time, Korea, under the Joseon Dynasty (1392–1910),10 began to face housing issues in urban areas as a result of population increases around its capital city, Seoul, caused by the migration of farmers from rural areas in search of urban employment.11 Those farmers, with

5. Minbeob [Civil Act], Act No. 471, Feb. 22, 1958, arts. 303–319 (S. Kor.).
6. Id.
7. See discussion infra Part IV.
10. Those changes included the emergence of capitalist class and the process of urbanization. Id.
11. Id. at 41.
limited financial resources, could not afford to buy houses and required lease properties to meet their housing needs.\textsuperscript{12} This trend accelerated as Korea began to open its ports to international trade in the late nineteenth century.\textsuperscript{13}

\textit{Chonse} was also used in the context of personal financing.\textsuperscript{14} The peculiar feature of \textit{Chonse}, paying a large sum of \textit{Chonsegeum} (deposit money) upfront with no requirement for rent, can be traced back to the custom of \textit{Kasajeondang} (house pledge).\textsuperscript{15} \textit{Kasajeondang}, which is believed to have originated in China, was a form of personal financing that required the owner of the house to offer it as collateral for a loan.\textsuperscript{16} Under this arrangement, the creditor attained the right to occupy the house for the duration of the loan as a guarantee for loan payment. If the debtor failed to pay back the loan, then the house was ultimately taken by the creditor.\textsuperscript{17} Under \textit{Kasajeondang}, the loan served as a sort of \textit{Chonsegeum}, effectively creating a \textit{Chonse}-style lease arrangement. This peculiar personal financing feature of Kasajeondang was consolidated into \textit{Chonse} as it appears now. \textit{Chonse}, in this context, was a security device to guarantee loan repayment.

This history indicates that \textit{Chonse}, as a traditional custom, had dual significances. \textit{Chonse} was, on one hand, a form of homestead lease that allowed a lessee to reside in the house on paying \textit{Chonsegeum} in lieu of rent payment. On the other hand, it was a financing device using a house as collateral. \textit{Chonse}, as a combination of homestead lease and personal financing, slowly appeared as a distinctive housing culture in Korean urban areas.\textsuperscript{18}

The function of tenancy as a personal financing device is also found outside Korea. For example, leasehold under Anglo-American law (or common law) has also served a personal financing function as well as provided housing: the tenancy, in order to avoid the biblically condemned usury, was essentially a way to loan money without having to charge interest.\textsuperscript{19} The land owner presumably would have wealth of land but be short on cash. A lease would turn her land into cash for the duration of tenancy but also allow the principal (the land) to be returned.\textsuperscript{20} The unique nature of \textit{Chonsegwon} is that the lessee is not required to make rent payments after paying refundable \textit{Chonsegeum} at the beginning of the lease.\textsuperscript{21}
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B. Legal Recognition of Chonse During the Colonial Period

Upon its annexation of Korea in 1910, the Japanese government undertook an extensive investigation into existing Korean customs, including Chonse, as part of its colonization endeavor. The result of this investigation was made available in December 1910 and published in 1913.\(^\text{22}\) According to this report, Chonse was the most prevalent form of homestead lease in Korea at the time.\(^\text{23}\) A lessee paid between 70% and 80% of the value of the house as Chonsegeum at the beginning of the leasing period.\(^\text{24}\) Chonse was not merely a lease. As discussed above, it also worked as a security device to guarantee loan repayment, using a house as collateral. This explains the high ratio of Chonsegeum to the actual value of the house, which was equivalent to the amount of the loan. The leasing period was usually one year,\(^\text{25}\) but some Chonse contracts did not expressly stipulate the period of lease, in which case parties assumed the period to be 100 days.\(^\text{26}\) The termination of Chonse was allowed by notice if no specific term had been agreed upon.\(^\text{27}\)

There were local differences as to the manner of securing the lessee’s right to Chonsegeum. For instance, in Seoul, the parties would submit relevant documents to Hanseongboo, the Seoul administrative office, and have these documents notarized by relevant authorities.\(^\text{28}\) This documentation was called Gagehyunrok.\(^\text{29}\) In some other local areas, the lessor confirmed the Chonse leasehold by handing over another document, called Chonsemoongi (confirmation of Chonse by the lessor in writing), to the lessee.\(^\text{30}\) These procedures were not required to create valid Chonse between the parties, but were necessary to claim the right against a third party.\(^\text{31}\) Chonse, otherwise valid between the lessor and the lessee, took effect against a third party by delivering Chonsemoongi or Gagehyunrok.\(^\text{32}\)

In 1912, the Japanese colonial government promulgated the Decree on Civil Matters on Joseon and declared the enforcement of Japanese law concerning civil matters in Korea. By virtue of the Decree, the Japanese Civil Code became the applicable law in Korea concerning private legal relationships, including property matters.\(^\text{33}\) The Decree also stipulated that Korean customary law, including Chonse, should be applied to private legal matters not covered by the
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Japanese Civil Code. Colonial judges carried out the task of identifying customary law based on the investigation report published by the Japanese colonial government. The recognition of Chonse as Korean custom at the judicial level took place on March 8, 1912, by the Joseon High Court. Chonsegwon, which is a legal recognition of Chonse as a property right, was not created at this point.

C. Incorporation of Chonsegwon in the Korean Civil Code

The Japanese colonial rule over Korea ended when Japan was defeated in World War II. The Republic of Korea was established on August 15, 1948, after a period of mandated rule by the Allies. Building a modern legal system was an urgent task for the new Korean Republic. Drafting the KCC was an important part of this task. The outbreak of the Korean War (1950–1953) delayed this process, and the KCC was finally completed and approved by the Korean legislature in 1958; it took effect on January 1, 1960. Despite several amendments, the basic structure of the 1960 KCC still governs all areas of private legal affairs, including contracts, property rights, family relations, testament, and probate.

The KCC includes seventeen provisions on Chonsegwon. It stipulates Chonsegwon as a right in rem, or a property right, rather than a right in personam, or a contractual right. According to the Korean customs as recognized by the Japanese colonial investigation report, Chonse was a form of a homestead lease contract. Thus a Chonse lessee possessed only a contractual right against a lessor, not a right in property itself, and could not claim the right against a third party (such as a subsequent purchaser of the property) unless the third party assumed the existing lease contract. The drafters of the KCC restated
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Chonsegwon as a property right, which is stronger than a contractual right and effective against a third party who may take over the property from the lessor without having necessarily assumed the original lease contract.\textsuperscript{44} This approach, which strengthened the right of Chonse lessees, met substantial criticism.\textsuperscript{45} The critics argued that Chonse was a merely distinctive form of a lease contract.\textsuperscript{46} Thus, they argued, it would be sufficient to regulate it as one of the contractual forms under the KCC.\textsuperscript{47} According to them, other measures could be devised to protect lessees without converting Chonsegwon to a right in rem.\textsuperscript{48} An argument also was advanced that because a right in rem requires registration,\textsuperscript{49} which is costly and time-consuming, the lessor may simply keep the arrangement contractual in order to avoid creating Chonsegwon for the lessee.\textsuperscript{50} Critics also pointed out that Chonse was mainly used in urban areas, in particular the capital city of Seoul, and was not frequently used in rural areas.\textsuperscript{51} As such, the time was not ripe for Chonse, with limited geographical practice, to be regulated by the KCC, which applies throughout Korea.\textsuperscript{52}

Despite the critiques, Chonsegwon was finally incorporated in the KCC,\textsuperscript{53} however, much of this criticism proved to be valid. Chonse lessors indeed felt that the process of creating Chonsegwon was cumbersome\textsuperscript{54} and that the strengthened lessee rights created by Chonsegwon did not serve their own interest. The lessors also were not pleased to see the Chonsegwon entry on their own property registration; they were concerned that a prospective purchaser or a financial lender might not prefer to see it on the registration of the property as it would be incumbent on their rights as the subsequent purchaser or the creditor with collateral. Thus, many lessors preferred to keep the arrangement contractual, without creating Chonsegwon as stipulated in the KCC, and new laws had to be enacted to protect Chonse lessees who did not have the protection of Chonsegwon.
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III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

As the concept of Chonsegwon is unique, a comparative legal analysis would be helpful to understand the legal nature of Chonsegwon. This Section provides a legal analysis of Chonsegwon in comparison to the corresponding common law leasehold concepts. The legal requirements of Chonsegwon also affect the usage of Chonse and Chonsegwon in the Korean society and thus need to be understood before assessing its socio-economic impact.

A. Preliminary Considerations

Chonsegwon is a right in rem on real estate created by contract and registration. As a preliminary analysis, the four key concepts of Chonsegwon are: 1) right in rem, 2) real estate, 3) contract, and 4) registration, need to be clarified in comparison to the corresponding concepts in the common law. Further discussion of the legal elements of Chonsegwon is provided in the subsequent subsections.

1. Right in Rem

The right in rem associated with Chonsegwon is a concept that originated in Continental European law, particularly German law. The right in rem in the Civil Law system refers to a legal right directly exercised on a physical object, and it is valid and effective against all persons. The absolute and monopolistic aspect of the right makes it clearly different from the right in personam. The right in rem is most notably different from the right in personam in that by having a right in rem, the holder of Chonsegwon can claim her right against a new owner of the real estate even if the new owner does not assume the original lease contract.
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that has created *Chonsegwon*. It also provides that the *Chonsegwon*-holder can repel anybody who interferes with her right, including the owner of the property. The strength and stability of *Chonsegwon* is substantially enhanced because it is recognized as a right in rem.

*Chonsegwon* as a right in rem is analogous to the right of leasehold under the common law. The common law distinguishes two types of estates: freehold and nonfreehold (otherwise known as leasehold), and both are recognized as rights in rem. The leasehold relationship is created under the common law when a lessor transfers a nonperpetual possessory interest in land or a building to a lessee. As the leasehold right is recognized as a right in rem, it is also distinguished from contractual rights in the common law, which are in principle valid only between the parties to the contract. Conversely, a leasehold right is effective against any other person with respect to the leased property.

2. Real Estate

*Chonsegwon* is a right to real estate. Real estate refers to immovables, comprised of land (ground) and permanent attachments to the land, including buildings. The KCC, with respect to the right in rem, distinguishes and separates land from permanent attachments to the land, such as buildings. This can create separate property interests in land and the building that stands on it, in which case the owner of the building may have to lease the land to secure her property interest in the building. As further discussed below, registration is required to create, maintain, and terminate a property interest in Korea, and thus the separate property rights have separate entries on the registry. The KCC’s recognition of separate property interests is in contrast to the common law treatment of real estate, which extends a property right in land to any permanent attachment thereon, including buildings and other physical objects.
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3. The *Chonsegwon* Contract

A contract, as an affirmation of the intent of the parties to create the lease, is required to create *Chonsegwon*. This contract is made between the owner of the real estate and the party who wishes to acquire *Chonsegwon*. The contract in the Korean law is a right in personam and is not effective against a third party who does not assume the contract. Thus a *Chonsegwon* contract is not in principle binding on a third party; further action, including registration, is required to create a leasehold as a property right that is valid and effective against a third party. The contract need not be evidenced in writing, although most *Chonsegwon* contracts are in writing for practical evidentiary reasons. By contrast, the common law requires all contracts pertaining to an interest in land, including leasehold contracts, to be in a signed writing for enforcement.

4. Registration

Korean property law requires valid registration to complete an acquisition or transfer of a property right in real estate. Thus registration, in addition to the contract, is required to create *Chonsegwon*. Real estate registry offices are maintained in each district court, and the registries are open to the public and accessible online. The party who acquires a right through registration and the other party, who loses a right or bears a burden of encumbrance, must apply for the registration at the same time. This means that the prospective *Chonsegwon* holder and the property owner need to agree to register and appear, in principle, in the registration office to complete the registration.
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By comparison, the common law does not require registration in order for a leasehold to be effective against a third party. However, certain common law jurisdictions, including some U.S. states, incorporate recording statutes that are comparable to the KCC provision for registration. Recording statutes are designed to give security to the parties involved in property transactions and/or third parties. Recording statutes vary from state to state but typically include a recording requirement for all leases of certain duration (i.e., one year, three years, etc.). An unrecorded lease is not necessarily invalid but simply unenforceable against a subsequent transferee if no notice is given. Additionally, if either of the parties to the lease desires that the lease information be kept confidential for business or other reasons, a “notice of lease,” along with other pertinent information such as the identification of the parties, the date of commencement, and the duration of the lease, can be recorded in lieu of the entire lease document, which can sometimes contain hundreds of pages.

B. Duration of Chonsegwon

The parties may set any period for the duration of a Chonsegwon arrangement, subject to the ten-year maximum period stipulated by the KCC. If the object of Chonsegwon is a building, there is also a mandatory minimum period of one year. The rationale for mandating the maximum period is that a long duration of Chonsegwon may in fact undermine the lessor’s property ownership. Thus an extension of Chonsegwon beyond the first ten years must be affirmed by the lessor (property owner) in the form of an agreement to renew. The rationale for the mandatory minimum period for a building is to ensure the economic feasibility of a Chonse lease for the benefit of the lessee. If Chonsegwon is set for a duration that exceeds the maximum period or falls short of the minimum, it is still valid, but the term is automatically reset by law to the mandatory maximum or minimum duration. Chonsegwon with no fixed duration can be terminated at “purposeful act” by the parties as opposed to operation of law) needs to be registered to be effective. The wording of the relevant Korean Civil Code provisions seems to indicate that the extinction of Chonsegwon takes place by operation of law, not by juridical act. Thus, arguably, the extinction can take place without registration. YOUNGJOON LEE, HANKOOK MINBEOBRON [KOREAN CIVIL CODE, LAW OF PROPERTY] 698–99 (2d ed. 2004).
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any time by notification of either party; termination takes effect six months from such notice. 90

Chonsegwon may be renewed by an agreement between the parties for a period that does not exceed ten years, and in the case of a building, for a period that is not shorter than one year. 91 Chonsegwon involving a lease of a building may also be renewed by operation of law; i.e., under the KCC, Chonsegwon is renewed automatically if within one to six months before the arrangement’s expiration, the owner of a building fails to notify the Chonsegwon-holder that Chonsegwon will not be renewed. 92 This requirement imposes on the property owner an affirmative duty to inform the lessee of the renewal decision if she does not wish to renew it. In this case, the duration of the renewed Chonsegwon shall be deemed not to have been determined by the parties. 93 This renewal, as effected by operation of law, does not require registration. 94

By contrast, the common law does not prescribe either a maximum or minimum period of duration for a leasehold. If there is no state statute to the contrary, there appears to be no limit on the permissible duration of a lease. The leading case on the subject states: “There being no statute in this state to the contrary, the law permitted the lease notwithstanding its length of two thousand years.” 95 Several U.S. states, however, have ninety-nine-year limitations. For example, Alabama limits all leases to a maximum duration of ninety-nine years, and Nevada provides the same limit for all leases other than agricultural leases, which cannot exceed twenty-five years. 96 A number of other states have time limits for certain kinds of leases. For example, California has a statute that stipulates a period of no more than fifty-one years for an agricultural lease. 97

C. Rights and Obligations of a Chonsegwon-holder

1. Chonsegwon-holder as a Lessee

A Chonsegwon-holder may rightfully use and benefit from real estate owned by another person. 98 The detailed scope and method of using and benefiting from the real estate is determined by contract. If the contract does not
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98 For this reason, it is essential that the owner deliver the real estate to the Chonsegwon-holder. However, the delivery itself is not the prerequisite for the validity of Chonsegwon so long as the owner does not completely exclude the Chonsegwon-holder from using the real estate in future. S. Ct., 94da18508, Feb. 10, 1995 (S. Kor.).
clearly stipulate it, the use of the real estate will be in accordance with the purpose and character of the real estate.\footnote{99} For example, a Chonsegwon-holder may not use real estate as a liquor bar when its purpose and character raise a reasonable expectation that it should be used as a residential place. If a Chonsegwon-holder violates the terms of use either stipulated by contract or derived from the purpose and character of the real estate, the owner may demand that the Chonsegwon arrangement be terminated\footnote{100} and either request that the Chonsegwon-holder restore the real estate to its original condition or demand compensation for any loss resulting from use.\footnote{101}

Absent an agreement otherwise, a Chonsegwon-holder as a lessee is responsible for maintaining the condition of the real estate as it existed prior to the settlement of Chonsegwon and is responsible for repairs in the ordinary course of management.\footnote{102} The lessee assumes the cost of repair but will be entitled to reimbursement from the lessor if the repair resulted in the increase of the value of the real estate.\footnote{103} At the option of the owner, the measure of reimbursement will be either the amount spent on the repair or the amount by which the real estate’s value increased.\footnote{104}

The rights of a Chonsegwon-holder are analogous to those of a common law leaseholder. Under the common law, the lessor has a duty to deliver possession of the property.\footnote{105} Correspondingly, the lessee, like the Chonsegwon-holder, is entitled to use the property at the exclusion of any other person, including the owner of the property.\footnote{106} Also, while the common law lessor is generally entitled to recover the cost of damage to the property, the terms of the lease determine which party is responsible for maintenance and repair.\footnote{107} The common law rights of the lessor and the lessee are quite extensive. Generally, the list of duties and rights follow common law contract law, and there is no general statutory provision such as the KCC assigning the rights and duties of the lessee.\footnote{108}
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2. Chonsegwon-holder as a Creditor

As discussed in the preceding sections, Chonsegwon also functions as a security right in rem. When the lessor does not return Chonseguem at the expiration of the Chonse period, the Chonsegwon-holder, as a creditor with priority, may file for an auction to retrieve Chonseguem. Although the lessee can secure a lessor’s obligation to return the deposit by acquiring other security rights, such as a mortgage, lessees have more frequently turned to Chonsegwon because it is less costly.

Chonsegwon as a security right is widely used not only in the context of a lease arrangement, but also in the context of securing receivables. For instance, a construction company may secure payment for the construction of a building by acquiring Chonsegwon on the building in accordance with the construction contract. If no payment is made, the construction company may file for an auction to satisfy its claim. The validity of this type of Chonsegwon has, however, been disputed. As noted above, Chonsegwon is a right in rem to use and benefit from the real estate; however, when Chonsegwon is employed merely as a means of securing payment for construction services, the Chonsegwon-holder does not exercise any such right. Chonsegwon has been judicially challenged on this ground. The Supreme Court of Korea ruled, however, that Chonsegwon for the purpose of securing a construction claim without actually using the real estate is still valid as long as the creditor maintains the possibility of using the real estate in future. This decision affirmed the use of Chonsegwon primarily as a security right.

Chonsegeum, which is the object of the security right, has the following three functions. First, it is a unique form of rent payment. The owner may dispose of Chonsegeum in any way that she deems fit and may retain any profit arising from its use in lieu of rent, subject to returning Chonsegeum to the

109. KWAK, supra note 2, at 257.
110. Minbeob [Civil Act], Act No. 471, Feb. 22, 1958, art. 318 (S. Kor.).
112. S. Ct., 94da18508, Feb. 10, 1995 (S. Kor.).
114. S. Ct., 94da18508, Feb. 10, 1995 (S. Kor.).
115. Id.
116. Whether Chonsegeum is a mandatory requirement for Chonsegwon has been debated by scholars. The majority of the scholars affirm that it is a key element. See, e.g., Hyosoon Nam, Chonsegeumgwa Chonsegwonui Gwanghe – Chonsegwon Yosou Beobjeock Euiimiwa Chonsegwonui Beobjeock Seongjil [The Relationship Between Chonsegeum and Chonsegwon – the Legal Significance of the Element of Chonsegwon and the Legal Characteristic of Chonsegwon], 49 SEOUFDAEHACKGYO BEOBHACK [SEOUL NAT’L UNIV. L.J.], no. 3, 2008, at 183–84.
lessee at the expiration of the Chonsegwon period. Second, it functions as a security deposit for the property. This is an advance payment to protect the owner against possible property damage. The owner reserves the right to deduct a relevant amount from the security deposit should there be any damage to the property for the duration of the Chonsegwon period. Third, it functions as a loan. This is the money paid to the owner by the Chonsegwon-holder for a financing purpose. The money should be paid back to the Chonsegwon-holder on the expiration of the Chonsegwon term. In this context, the Chonsegwon-holder is a creditor and holds the real estate as collateral for the loan.

If the owner fails to return Chonsegeum, the Chonsegwon-holder, unlike an unsecured creditor, is entitled to file for an auction of the real estate without having to litigate to obtain a judgment. In the auction process, the Chonsegwon-holder has priority over unsecured creditors with respect to the real estate subject to the Chonsegwon. Among secured creditors, the priority is, in principle, determined by the order of registration. For instance, the Chonsegwon-holder has priority over a mortgagee who has registered the mortgage after the Chonsegwon arrangement was registered. This is true even when a creditor other than the Chonsegwon-holder initiates the auction process. When the judicial disposition of the real estate is completed, the Chonsegwon-holder receives the proceeds in satisfaction of the Chonsegeum.

The terms of common law lease do not include anything like Chonsegeum. A refundable security deposit, which may be required by a property lease contract, is probably closest to Chonsegeum. As with Chonsegeum, the lessor may dispose of the security deposit for her own gain, subject to relevant statutory requirements and her obligation to refund the deposit upon expiration of the lease. The security deposit also covers the lessor from possible property damage caused by the tenant and non-payment of rent. However, the refundable

117. S. Ct., 4290minsang867, Apr. 24, 1958 (S. Kor.).
118. Civil Act, Act No. 471, art. 315, ¶ 2.
119. Id.
120. Lee, supra note 82, at 681.
121. Civil Act, Act No. 471, art. 318.
122. Id. art. 303, ¶ 1.
123. RERA, Act No. 8922, art. 4. ¶ 1.
124. Minsajiphaengbeob [Civil Execution Act], Act No. 10376, July 23, 2010, arts. 88, ¶ 1; 91, ¶ 3; 148 (S. Kor.).
125. During the 1970s, over two-thirds of the states in the United States enacted statutes regulating security deposits for residential leaseholds. The purpose was to standardize security deposits and facilitate the tenant in recovering the deposit. A typical state statute: 1) limits the deposit amount to one or two month’s rent; 2) requires the landlord to keep the deposit in a trust account without commingling, pay interest, and provide list of deductions that may be taken from the deposit; and 3) outlines the penalty for failure to fulfill obligations on the part of the landlord. Although none of the state statutes grants property rights in rem if the security deposit is not returned, the courts typically favor the tenant in litigation over security deposits. See, e.g., Garcia v. Thong, 895 P.2d 226 (1995).
security deposit does not serve as a loan. The lessee’s right to the deposit is only contractual and is not normally attached to the property.

D. Transfer and Termination of Chonsegwon

A Chonsegwon-holder is free to transfer her rights to a third party. The Chonsegwon-holder also may offer Chonsegwon as collateral for her own debt, and the court can order that the Chonsegwon be attached and transferred to her creditor in an enforcement procedure. Frequently, Chonsegwon becomes the object of a mortgage. The Chonsegwon-holder also may create sub-Chonsegwon with a third party. A claim for Chonsegeum transfers with the transfer of Chonsegwon and cannot, in principle, be separated from Chonsegwon. However, when Chonsegeum is not refunded, either after the Chonsegwon period expires or upon mutual agreement to rescind the contract, the claim can be transferred to a third party without Chonsegwon.

Chonsegwon extinguishes on several grounds. First, Chonsegwon extinguishes upon the expiration of the Chonsegwon period as agreed by the parties. If the duration is not fixed, either party may notify the other party of the termination of the Chonsegwon, which takes effect after a period of six months has elapsed. Chonsegwon also extinguishes with the destruction of the underlying real estate. If part of the real estate is destroyed, then the Chonsegwon that corresponds to the destroyed portion extinguishes. If the purpose of Chonsegwon cannot be achieved with the remaining part, the Chonsegwon-holder can notify the property owner of her intention to terminate the entire Chonsegwon and may demand refund of Chonsegeum. In either case, the party responsible for the destruction of the real estate is required to compensate for the loss, and the property owner reserves the right to deduct from Chonsegeum the due amount for the property damage.

Additional grounds for Chonsegwon extinction are: use of the real estate not in accordance with the terms of the lease or purpose and character of the real estate, voluntary relinquishment of Chonsegwon by a Chonsegwon-holder, and

126. Civil Act, Act No. 471, art. 306.
127. Id.
128. Id. art. 371, ¶ 1.
129. Id. art. 306.
130. S. Ct., 66da850, July 5, 1966 (S. Kor.).
131. Civil Act, Act No. 471, art. 313.
132. Id. art. 314, ¶ 1.
133. Id.
134. Id. art. 314, ¶ 2.
135. Id. art. 315, ¶ 1.
137. Id. art. 311.
fulfillment of the terms of extinction set out in the contract.\textsuperscript{138} Chonsegeum is to be refunded to the Chonsegwon-holder upon the extinction of Chonsegwon.

Under the common law, a leasehold is transferable unless the terms of the lease restrict the lessee’s ability to transfer it.\textsuperscript{139} Extinction of the leasehold depends on the type of leasehold.\textsuperscript{140} If the duration of the lease is fixed, it automatically expires when the lease ends unless the lessee breaches any of her duties by, for instance, failing to pay rent, committing waste, or illegally using the premises. If the duration is not fixed, it is terminated upon notice by either party.\textsuperscript{141} The refund of a security deposit is treated as a separate contractual issue and does not affect the extinction of the leasehold.\textsuperscript{142}

IV. SOCIO-ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE

A. Preference for Chonse Without Chonsegwon

Chonse is the most prevalent form of leasehold in Korea.\textsuperscript{143} According to the 2010 statistics provided by the Ministry of Land, Transport and Maritime Affairs, people in 54.25\% of households reside in the homes they own, 21.66\% reside in homes under a Chonse arrangement, 1.97\% reside in homes under a Wolse arrangement (monthly rent), and 18.16\% reside in homes under a Wolse arrangement with a refundable deposit (a sort of hybrid between Chonse and Wolse).\textsuperscript{144} The percentage of Chonse living arrangements goes up to 29.44\% in Seoul and the surrounding Gyeonggi province.\textsuperscript{145} While Chonse is the most prevalent form of leasehold, the Chonsegwon registration rate is fairly low: only 8\% of commercial Chonse arrangements\textsuperscript{146} and an even lower percentage (1.45\%) of household Chonse agreements\textsuperscript{147} are registered as Chonsegwon. Chonse is a

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{138} Kwak, supra note 2, at 267.
\item \textsuperscript{140} Sprankling, supra note 105, at 220–26.
\item \textsuperscript{141} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{142} Civil Execution Act, Act No. 10376, arts. 88, ¶ 1; 91, ¶ 3; 148.
\item \textsuperscript{143} The dominant share of Chonse in the Korean leasehold market, however, is declining. See discussion infra Part IV.C.
\item \textsuperscript{145} This is a reflection of overpopulation and shortage of housing supply in these areas.
\item \textsuperscript{146} Ministry of Land, Transport and Maritime Affairs, supra note 144.
\item \textsuperscript{147} It is estimated that 1.45\% of the lease households in Korea register Chonsegwon.
\end{itemize}

Hangookbeobjie Yeongoowon \textsuperscript{[Korea Legislation Research Inst.], Jootaekimdaechasiltaeae Gwanhan Yeongoo \textsuperscript{[Empirical Research on House Lease]}} 51–52 (1993). There is no other empirical research or relevant data found with respect to the Chonsegwon/Chonse ratio for the house lease.
preferred form of leasehold, but most do not register Chonsegwon,\textsuperscript{148} thus confirming critics’ predictions at the time the KCC was drafted decades ago that lessors would sidestep registration to avoid giving Chonse-holders a property right over their leaseholds.\textsuperscript{149}

A survey undertaken by the Small and Medium Business Administration of Korea indicates why Chonsegwon is not widely used.\textsuperscript{150} Although the survey targeted commercial lessees, the reasoning is also applicable to homestead Chonse lessees. Most respondents replied that they did not register because the amount of Chonsegeum was not large enough to warrant the cost and time required for the Chonsegwon registration.\textsuperscript{151} From the perspective of the lessee, the necessity for Chonsegwon registration is ever more diminished because special statutes, as further discussed below, are in place to protect the lessee’s right on Chonsegeum when the lessee does not register Chonsegwon and thus only has a contractual right for the refund of Chonsegeum.\textsuperscript{152}

Another important reason reported for the infrequent use of Chonsegwon was the difficulty of obtaining consent to register from the property owner.\textsuperscript{153} Property owners view Chonsegwon registration as a burdensome encumbrance on the property when obtaining a loan or negotiating a sale of the property and choose to avoid giving Chonsegwon-holders the right to auction the property upon failure to refund Chonsegeum.\textsuperscript{154} Most lessees are not in a position to demand Chonsegwon registration because the decades-long housing shortage\textsuperscript{155} has made the real estate market a lessor’s market.

**B. Socio-economic Need for the Protection of Unregistered Chonse-holders**

The aforementioned market forces, which heavily favor the lessor, rendered the vast majority of Chonse leaseholds unregistered.\textsuperscript{156} This created a particular vulnerability on the part of the lessee when the ownership of the real

---

\textsuperscript{148} Chonsegwon registration tends to be higher when the amount of Chonsegeum is also larger. Kwak, supra note 2, at 253–54.

\textsuperscript{149} See discussion supra Part II.C.


\textsuperscript{151} Id.

\textsuperscript{152} The Jootaekimdaecha Bohobeob [Housing Lease Protection Act] and the Sanggageonmulimdaecha Bohobeob [Commercial Building Lease Protection Act] provide residential and commercial lessees with the status of secured creditors merely by going through a simple administrative certification procedure without registration. See further discussion infra Part IV.B.

\textsuperscript{153} See 2008 Survey, supra note 150.

\textsuperscript{154} Kwak, supra note 2, at 253.

\textsuperscript{155} The housing supply met only 69.2% to 72.4% of demand from 1979 to 1990 and rose to 86% in 1995. KB Kookmin Bank, Survey of Monthly Nationwide Home Price Trend 100 (2011).

\textsuperscript{156} See discussion supra Section IV.A.
estate passed to a third party by sale, auction, or other legal means. With only a contractual right against the original property owner, the lessee could not claim her rights over the real estate or Chonsegeum against the new property owner.

After transferring the property, failure by the original property owner to refund Chonsegeum, equivalent to as much as 60% of the home price, was often financially devastating to the lessee. Further, the new owner could then demand that the lessee either leave the premises or make a new leasehold arrangement, preferably in the form of Chonse. The lessee who could not afford to make another payment of Chonsegeum would subsequently lose her place to live. Even with a contractual right against the previous owner, the lessee could still only resort to a time-consuming and costly lawsuit, which would be rendered even more problematic if the owner could not be located. This created serious socio-economic ramifications, which brought up the need to redress and protect the rights of unregistered Chonse-holders.

The government responded in 1981 with the Jootaekimdaecha Bohobeob (Housing Lease Protection Act, HLPA), a statute to protect homestead lessees. The HLPA provides the lessee with long-demanded protections by mandating a minimum lease term, placing restrictions on rent increases, and ensuring the refund of Chonsegeum. As a result of the HLPA, lessees are able to secure Chonsegeum without Chonsegwon registration.

As for the minimum lease term, the law mandates a minimum period of two years regardless of whether the lease is silent on the term or stipulates otherwise. It is noteworthy that this mandate can be invoked only by the lessee and not by the lessor. Thus the lessee may agree on a period of less than two years as the term of her lease and may demand a Chonsegeum refund when the agreed term expires. This is a reflection of the desire to protect lessees who are perceived as being in a disadvantaged socio-economic position vis-à-vis lessors in a stronger bargaining position. By contrast, this protection is not found in the common law, which emphasizes freedom of contract. Further, the HLPA also protects the lessee with respect to renewing the lease. If, within one to six months before the lease expires, the lessor fails to notify the lessee of a refusal to extend

---

157. KB KOOKMIN BANK, supra note 155, at 82–83.
159. Jootaekimdaecha Bohobeob [Housing Lease Protection Act, HLPA], Act No. 3379, Mar. 5, 1981, art. 1 (S. Kor.).
160. Id. art. 4.
161. Id. art. 7.
162. Id. arts. 3–2, 8.
163. One may consider that this contributes to the decline of Chonsegwon. However, because the rate of Chonsegwon registration was already so low, the “decline,” if any, would likely be minute and inconsequential. See discussion supra Part IV.A.
164. HLPA Act, Act No. 3379, art. 4, ¶ 1.
165. Id.
166. Id.
the lease or any change in the terms and conditions of the lease, the lease is deemed to have been renewed under the same terms as the original.167

As for the stability of the rent, the HLPA allows the parties to request an increase or reduction of rent when the stipulated amount becomes unreasonable due to a change of economic circumstances.168 At the same time, the Act controls any increase in rent, including Chonsegeum, by imposing a maximum rate prescribed by Presidential Decree, which is currently 5%.169 This is again a socio-economic consideration to protect lessees from unreasonable rent increases. By comparison, the common law, in emphasizing freedom of contract, does not offer this type of protection to lessees, although certain localities in the United States employ rent control measures that are analogous.170

The final, most important protection implemented by the HLPA concerns the refund of Chonsegeum. Under the HLPA, a simple administrative process creates a statutory lien on the real estate.171 Lessees who have moved onto the premises and completed a resident registration form at a local administrative bureau have a statutory lien on the property for the refund of Chonsegeum.172 According to the Sanggageonmulimdaecha Bohobeob (Commercial Building Lease Protection Act, CBLPA), the same protection is available to lessees of commercial buildings where the lease contract is certified by a tax office.173 Again, this is a unique protection not provided by the common law for unregistered lessees in a weaker socio-economic position than lessors.

C. The Future of Chonse and Chonsegwon

As discussed above, Chonse is the most prevalent form of lease in Korea, under which the lessee pays a substantial amount as Chonsegeum,174 and is a leasehold system not found outside Korea.175 Why would the lessee and the lessor agree to such an arrangement, involving a large, refundable lump sum deposit in lieu of rent payments? The analysis reveals that the system of Chonse proliferated under a unique set of socio-economic conditions that existed in Korea until recently. Given Korea’s extended housing shortage,176 which rendered the

167. Id. art. 6, ¶ 1.
168. Id. art. 7.
169. Presidential Decree to HLPA art. 2, ¶ 1.
170. For a recent review of rent control measures, see Cristina McDonough, Rent Control and Rent Stabilization as Forms of Regulatory and Physical Taking, 34 B.C. ENVT’L. AFF. L. REV. 361, 361–85 (2007).
171. Jootaekimdaecha Bohobeob [Housing Lease Protection Act, HLPA], Act No. 3379, Mar. 5, 1981, art. 3-2, ¶ 2 (S. Kor.).
172. Id. art. 3, ¶ 1.
173. Sanggageonmulimdaecha Bohobeob [Commercial Building Lease Protection Act, CBLPA], Act 6542, Dec. 29, 2001, art. 5, ¶ 1 (S. Kor.).
174. KB KOOKMIN BANK, supra note 155, at 82–83.
175. KWAK, supra note 2, at 263.
176. KB KOOKMIN BANK, supra note 155, at 82–83.
housing lease market a lessor’s market, it makes sense to consider the issue from the lessor’s perspective first.

From the lessor’s perspective, Chonsegeum, as a refundable deposit, had certain advantages over periodic rent payment. From the 1960s through the 1990s, the interest rate in Korea remained high; thus, a sizeable Chonsegeum was capable of yielding stable income for the lessor even if simply deposited in a term savings account. In the absence of any credit evaluation system, Chonsegeum ensured that the lessor would receive income from her property without the trouble of periodic rent collection. Chonse was also an excellent financing device for homeowners at a time when home mortgage financing for individuals was not readily available in Korea. Between the 1970s and the 2000s, real estate prices rapidly rose in Korea, and Chonse was an excellent way for new homeowners to finance the purchase of a new home and reap large profits after a few years.

From the lessee’s perspective, Chonse was a way to live in a respectable home for those who could not afford to buy. Most good homes available for lease were offered for Chonse rather than Wolse (monthly rent), and Chonse was considered a step before full homeownership. Chonse was also a way to move into a larger home without paying the full price and was important for those who appreciate the social perception that the size of one’s home is a reflection of one’s status. In many cases, parents would try to support their children, particularly those soon to be married, by providing Chonsegeum for their new homes as a wedding gift. Once Chonsegeum was paid, young people did not have to worry about rent and could save a substantial portion of their incomes for full home ownership. This custom contributed to high saving rates in Korean society.

With the recent change of the socio-economic conditions in Korea, Chonse is likely to diminish. The availability of personal financing reduces the

177. The interest rate of term savings (less than two to three years) remained over 10% per annum until the late 1990s. BANK OF KOREA, http://ecos.bok.or.kr (last visited Mar. 22, 2012).


179. Korean banking and financial industries were under the control of the government until the 1980s, and they were directed to provide loans to the industries with productive capacities, rather than consumers, to promote economic development of Korea. Mah, supra note 4, at 14.

180. From 1986 to 2010, the home price rose on average 138.4% nationwide in Korea, 178.6% in Seoul, 279.9% for apartment units in Korea, and 368.7% for apartment units in the Kangnam area of Seoul, which is considered the most prime residential area in Korea. CONSTR. INDUS. STRATEGY RESEARCH INST., ANALYSIS OF CIRCULAR LONG-TERM REAL PRICES OF REAL ESTATE, 1 (2011) (S. Kor.) [hereinafter ANALYSIS OF LONG-TERM PRICES].

181. FIN. SUPERVISORY COMM’N BLOG, supra note 3.

need for *Chonse* as a substitute. Moreover, the security function that *Chonsegeum* plays for lessors, which covers the risk of lessee-default on periodic rent payments, can be fulfilled by a *Wolse* deposit. The recent stagnation and decline of real estate prices is another factor cutting against *Chonse*. It may no longer be very profitable to purchase a home with the help of *Chonsegeum* due to stagnant or even declining home prices. Further, recent decreasing interest rates have discouraged lessors from entering *Chonse* arrangements because they no longer can obtain adequate income from the interest *Chonsegeum* would generate.

A transition from *Chonse* to *Wolse* leasehold already has begun. According to a recent report, the percentage of *Wolse* leaseholds rose from 42% of housing lease contracts in 2009 to 45.8% in 2011. *Wolse* is increasingly prevalent in provinces outside Seoul and the surrounding area. Relatively lower rents and a high demand for job-related temporary housing contribute to the dominance of *Wolse* in the provinces. Including a deposit in a *Wolse* arrangement is becoming increasingly popular, particularly in the metropolitan areas, where monthly rents tend to be higher. Under this type of leasehold, as with *Chonse*, the lessee pays a refundable deposit to the lessor. The deposit amount is not as large as *Chonsegeum* but substantial enough to give the lessor a sense of security and the opportunity to generate income. Under this arrangement, the amount of monthly rent also tends to be less than under pure *Wolse*, due to the deposit paid. This form of *Wolse* is more prevalent in commercial leases.

183. In 2002, the total amount of personal loans made available by financial institutions in Korea was 416,728,000,000,000 Korean won (approximately US$359 billion), while it almost doubled to 797,491,800,000,000 Korean won in 2010 (approximately US$687 billion). BANC OF KOREA, supra note 177.

184. Such is the case particularly in Seoul. ANALYSIS OF LONG-TERM PRICES, supra note 180, at 6–7.

185. For example, the average annual interest rate on time deposits for a term of less than six months in 1998 was 13.42%, and then decreased to 5.21% in 2001, 3.17% in 2005, and 2.78% in 2010. BANC OF KOREA, supra note 177.

186. Hun-chul Shin, Wolse Contracts Take Up 46 Percent in Housing Rental Contracts, MAEL ECONOMY, Nov. 25, 2011, http://news.mk.co.kr/newsRead.php?rss=Y&sc=30800006&year=2011&no=764467&sID=308; Wachter & Lee, supra note 111, at 260 (noting that the trend in recent years has been to shift toward the *Wolse* system).

187. Id.

188. In 2005, only two provinces outside Seoul and the vicinity saw Wolse taking up 60% of the leases. In 2010, seven provinces joined the club: Jeju (64.26%), North Jeolla (64.26%), North Gyeongsang (63.97%), South Gyeongsang (61.68%), Gangwon (61.59%), Gwangju (60.81%), and Ulsan (60.28%). See Yang Sung-jin, Jeonse Giving Way to Monthly Rent, KOREA HERALD, Oct. 17, 2011, http://www.koreaherald.com/business/Detail.jsp?newsMLId=20111017000686.

189. Id.


191. Kim, supra note 182.
As for Chonsegwon, whose use always has been low due to the reasons discussed above, there is no indication that it is likely to increase. Lessors’ reluctance to create a potentially burdensome Chonsegwon entry on the property registry remains unchanged.\textsuperscript{192} At the same time, a lessee has no incentive to pursue costly Chonsegwon registration against a reluctant lessor given the HLPA. Further, the utility of Chonsegwon as a means to secure a loan also has diminished. With the availability of home mortgages and numerous other forms of financing in recent decades,\textsuperscript{193} there is no strong need to resort to Chonsegwon for personal financing. Chonsegwon, which protects rights arising from Chonse contracts as rights in rem, was indeed a progressive move at the time that the KCC was enacted.\textsuperscript{194} In the end, however, this move did not take root in Korean society.

\textbf{V. CONCLUSION}

Chonse proliferated under a set of unique socio-economic conditions that existed in Korea during its rapid economic development. The vulnerability of unregistered Chonse-holders ultimately led to serious social issues that were remedied by legislation reinforcing the rights of unregistered Chonse-holders. This, in addition to recent trends in the Korean economy, such as the stagnation of real estate prices, decreasing interest rates, the development of a credit evaluation system, and the provision of home mortgage lending, is resulting in the decline of Chonse. Meanwhile, other forms of lease arrangements, such as Wolse, are becoming more prevalent. Chonsegwon, with historically very low usage, is likely to remain little-used in the foreseeable future.\textsuperscript{195}

Chonse remains a unique leasehold system that cannot be found outside Korea. Anglo-American common law leaseholds, despite some similarities in the legal features,\textsuperscript{196} do not include the key feature of Chonse: the payment of refundable Chonsegeum at the beginning of the lease in lieu of rent payment. None of the leaseholds defined in the civil law system, including that of Asian countries, seems to share similar features with Chonse.\textsuperscript{197} Accordingly,

\textsuperscript{192} See discussion \textit{supra} Part IV.A.

\textsuperscript{193} The government control of Korean banking and financial industries began to be lifted in the late 1980s, and the banks started to provide consumer loans, including home mortgages and other types of financing. Mah, \textit{supra} note 4, at 15.

\textsuperscript{194} The legislators deliberating on the Korean Civil Code draft realized that the new Chonsegwon would run a risk of not being used frequently because it required a registration. Minbeoban Simeurok Sanggwon [Civil Code Draft Deliberation Minute, vol. 1], 1957, at 183.

\textsuperscript{195} Chonsegwon, although infrequently used, is unlikely to disappear completely, either. Chonsegwon, as a right in rem, has unique advantages, such as transferability, and lessees with an economic leverage against lessors may still wish to enjoy the full proprietary rights that Chonsegwon offers.

\textsuperscript{196} See discussion \textit{supra} Part III.

\textsuperscript{197} For the civil law system, see \textit{supra} note 57.
Chonsegwon, the proprietary legal embodiment of Chonse, is also unique and not found outside Korea.

Despite this uniqueness, Chonse might be useful in other developing countries undergoing rapid economic growth where home prices are sharply increasing and personal loans are difficult to obtain. The economic incentives for the proliferation of Chonse in Korea also would exist in this economic circumstance. As discussed above, Chonse also tends to induce savings, particularly among people who value homeownership. This high savings rate might, in turn, contribute to economic development, as it did in Korea. However, in the absence of personal loans for Chonsegeum, Chonse may require culturally strong family ties, which caused many parents in Korea to provide Chonsegeum on behalf of their children. It would indeed be difficult for many young adults to come up with enough money for Chonsegeum on their own.

Does this unique system of Chonse have any applicability in economically developed countries such as United States? With the availability of home mortgages and the deeply rooted practice of periodic (mostly monthly) rent payment for home leases, it is difficult to imagine that anyone would have any incentive to pay a large amount of Chonsegeum for a home lease. However, a colleague of the author has made an informal suggestion that a commercial entity may have an incentive to consider this type of leasehold arrangement, where the amount of Chonsegeum might be tax-deductible as a business expense in lieu of rent. It is not entirely clear whether the tax authority would consider Chonsegeum as a deductible business expense, but if it did, Chonse might have a new use in developed countries primarily for tax purposes.
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198. Rapid home price increases have been observed in other developing countries undergoing rapid economic development, such as China and Vietnam, as well as Korea in the 1970s through 2000s. Constr. Indus. Strategy Research Inst., supra note 180.
199. See discussion supra Part IV.C.
200. Id.
201. See Mah, supra note 4.
202. Thus, many young adults in Korea who could not afford to pay Chonsegeum even with the help of their parents started with the less prestigious Wolse. In recent decades, Korean banks started to provide loans for Chonsegeum, but such loans may not be available in developing countries with limited financial resources.